

Mark Of The Beast Kipling

Mark Of The Beast Kipling

Downloaded from [old.oplm.com](#) by Shelton & Hester

INTRODUCTION: UNCOVERING THE ENDURING MYSTERY OF KIPLING’S “THE MARK OF THE BEAST”

In the vast landscape of supernatural Victorian fiction, few stories have retained the unsettling power of Rudyard Kipling’s short story **“The Mark of the Beast.”** First published in 1890 in the *Pioneer* and later collected in *Life’s Handicap* (1891), this tale of colonial arrogance, bestial transformation, and occult vengeance continues to captivate readers. The story boldly confronts the collision between Western rationalism and Eastern mysticism, while carrying a title that deliberately echoes the apocalyptic “mark of the beast” from the Book of Revelation. Yet Kipling’s narrative is anything but a simple religious allegory. Instead, it weaves a complex commentary on power, punishment, and the thin veneer of civilization. This article will delve deeply into the background, plot, symbolism, and lasting significance of **Kipling’s “The Mark of the Beast,”** examining why this nearly 140-year-old story remains a vital text for understanding both colonial literature and the enduring human fascination with the monstrous.

Written during Kipling’s years in British India, the story emerges from a period when the Empire was at its zenith, yet also shadowed by anxieties about cultural hybridity and rebellion. **The Mark of the Beast Kipling** intended as a chilling warning about disrespecting the sacred—both religious and cultural. To fully appreciate the story, one must first understand its author, its historical context, and the layered meanings that have made it a subject of debate among literary critics for generations.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS IMPERIAL MILIEU

Rudyard Kipling (1865–1936) was born in Bombay and deeply influenced by the sights, sounds, and tensions of India. Unlike many of his contemporaries who wrote from a purely metropolitan perspective, Kipling possessed an intimate (though still colonial) knowledge of Indian customs, languages, and religions. This unique vantage point allowed him to craft stories that were both exotic and unsettling. **“The Mark of the Beast”** is a prime example of his ability to fuse the familiarity of British club life with the terrifying unknown of native ritual. Kipling was a master of the short story form, and his work often grappled with themes of identity, duty, and the psychological cost of empire.

The imperial context is crucial. The British Raj operated under the assumption of racial and cultural superiority, yet there was always a latent fear that the colonizers could be “contaminated” or overwhelmed by the land they sought to control. Kipling gave voice to that anxiety in many of his tales, but nowhere more graphically than in **Kipling’s “The Mark of the Beast.”** The story serves as a kind of reverse-colonization nightmare: instead of the British civilizing the “savage,” a savage curse reduces a British man to a beast.

PLOT SUMMARY OF “THE MARK OF THE BEAST”

The story is set in India during the New Year’s Eve celebrations. The narrator is an Englishman who, along with his friend Strickland (a recurring Kipling character who is an expert in native affairs), attends a gathering at the house of a man named Fleete. Fleete, a brash and arrogant colonial, decides to drunkenly desecrate a statue of the Hindu god Hanuman at a nearby temple. He stubs out his cigar on the statue’s forehead, a blatant act of disrespect to the Silver Man—a mysterious leper-priest who serves as the temple guardian.

The Silver Man (his skin is covered in silver leaf) approaches the trio and touches Fleete on the chest. Fleete laughs it off, but the consequences are immediate and horrifying. Soon after, Fleete begins to undergo a grotesque transformation. He becomes animalistic, losing his power of speech, developing a taste for raw meat, and growing patches of bristly hair. His mind degenerates, and he begins to bay at the moon like a wolf. The narrator and Strickland realize that Fleete has been cursed with a mark—not a visible brand, but a profound spiritual and physical degradation that literally makes him “the beast.”

Desperate to save their friend, the two men seek help from the British doctor, who can find no medical explanation. They then turn to a native priest, who reveals that the affliction is a punishment for the blasphemy against Hanuman. The only cure is to force the Silver Man to lift the curse. Strickland, using his inside knowledge of native customs, determines that the Silver Man must be tortured into removing the mark. This leads to a tense and morally ambiguous scene where the two Englishmen tie the leper-priest to a bedpost and threaten him with a red-hot branding iron. The Silver Man finally relents and touches Fleete, reversing the curse. The story ends with the trio leaving the temple, but the narrator is left deeply shaken, and the implication is that Western justice has failed—the curse was real, and the only solution was to commit an act of cruelty against a native holy man.

SYMBOLISM AND INTERPRETATION OF THE MARK

The title itself is a dense symbol. **The mark of the beast Kipling** simultaneously invokes the biblical “mark” from the Book of Revelation—a sign of allegiance to the Antichrist—and the literal bestiality that overtakes Fleete. However, Kipling subverts the biblical meaning. In Revelation, the mark is worn by those who worship the beast. In Kipling’s story, the mark is not a sign of devotion but of punishment for desecration. Fleete’s beast-mark is a physical and spiritual degradation that makes him resemble the animal whose temple he defiled—Hanuman is the monkey god.

This transformation is rich in imperial symbolism. Fleete’s behavior represents the worst of colonial arrogance: a drunken, destructive disregard for indigenous culture. The mark, then, is a form of cosmic justice. But Kipling does not allow for easy heroism. Strickland, the “native expert,” ultimately resorts to methods that mirror the very savagery the British claim to oppose. Torturing the Silver Man becomes the only way to “civilize” the curse—a deeply uncomfortable parallel to the violence that underpinned colonial rule.

The beast itself has multiple layers. On one level, it is the literal animal nature that lies beneath the thin fabric of civilization. Fleete becomes a creature of pure appetite and instinct, unable to speak or

reason. On another level, the beast is a cultural projection. The British often described colonized peoples as “bestial,” yet here it is the British colonizer who is most vulnerable to becoming a beast. Kipling flips the Orientalist trope, suggesting that the “savage” and the “civilized” are not so far apart.

THEMES OF PUNISHMENT AND REDEMPTION

A central theme in **“The Mark of the Beast”** is the inevitability of punishment for transgressing sacred boundaries. Fleete’s crime is not merely drinking or being obnoxious; it is a deliberate violation of religious taboo. The story reflects Kipling’s own respect—or at least acknowledgement—for the power of Indian religious practices. He does not dismiss the curse as superstition. Instead, the narrative presents it as a metaphysical reality that the British characters must confront.

Redemption comes through Strickland’s ruthless pragmatism. The two men do not seek forgiveness or repentance; they force the Silver Man to lift the curse under duress. This moral complexity is one of the story’s strengths. Are Strickland and the narrator justified? The story does not offer a clear verdict. The narrator is horrified by what they have done, yet he also feels relief. The ending leaves a lingering sense of unease—the mark is gone, but the memory of the beast remains.

Another key theme is the danger of cultural ignorance. Fleete’s friends are initially dismissive of the curse, mocking Strickland’s knowledge of native beliefs. They soon learn that ignoring local customs can have terrifying consequences. For modern readers, this serves as a potent metaphor for the need for cultural sensitivity and the ethical perils of colonial supremacy.

LITERARY STYLE AND GOTHIC ELEMENTS

Kipling’s prose in this story is taut and atmospheric. He uses vivid imagery to convey the gradual transformation: the bristling hair, the red eyes, the animal sounds. The story unfolds in a quasi-documentary style, with the narrator recounting events matter-of-factly, which heightens the horror. There is no supernatural window dressing—the curse is presented as a natural, almost logical outcome of the desecration.

The story belongs firmly to the Gothic tradition, particularly the subgenre of imperial Gothic. Imperial Gothic fiction of the late 19th century often explored the idea that the colonizer could be invaded or corrupted by the colonized culture. **“The Mark of the Beast”** is a prime example, along with works like Bram Stoker’s *The Jewel of Seven Stars* or H. Rider Haggard’s *She*. The fear is that the dark forces of the colonies could creep back into the rational European mind and body. Kipling’s tale literalizes that fear through physical transformation.

The story also draws on werewolf mythology. Fleete’s transformation is distinctly lupine: he howls, craves raw meat, and grows matted fur. Some critics have noted parallels with the Hindu myth of the rakshasa (a shape-shifting demon) and with European lycanthropy. Kipling successfully blends these traditions into a unified narrative that feels both ancient and immediate.

CRITICAL RECEPTION AND LEGACY

Upon publication, **“The Mark of the Beast”** received mixed reactions. Some Victorian readers were scandalized by its graphic depiction of bestiality (in the literal sense) and its blasphemous elements. Others praised Kipling’s daring and craft. Over the years, it has become one of his most frequently anthologized horror stories, often compared to the works of H.P. Lovecraft and Algernon Blackwood.

The story has been analyzed through postcolonial, psychoanalytic, and religious lenses. Postcolonial critics point out that the story exposes the fragility of imperial order and the violence necessary to maintain it. Psychoanalytic readings focus on the return of the repressed—the beast within every civilized man. Religious interpretations debate the story’s stance on Christian versus Hindu cosmology. Kipling himself was agnostic but fascinated by religion, and the story leaves many questions open.

The mark of the beast Kipling reference has also permeated popular culture. The phrase “mark of the beast” is almost exclusively associated with the Book of Revelation in modern times, but among literary readers, Kipling’s story adds a layer of colonial horror to the concept. It is studied in university courses on Victorian literature, Gothic fiction, and postcolonial studies. Its brevity (only a few thousand words) belies its depth.

RELEVANCE IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Why should readers today care about a 130-year-old story of a man turning into a beast in colonial India? First, **Kipling’s “The Mark of the Beast”** remains a masterclass in suspense and atmosphere, a testament to the enduring power of short horror fiction. Second, its themes are remarkably current. Debates about cultural appropriation, religious respect, and the consequences of imperial attitudes have not faded. The story forces us to examine who gets to define “bestly” behavior and what happens when one culture arrogantly imposes its values on another.

Moreover, the story raises ethical questions about ends and means. Strickland and the narrator commit an act of torture to save Fleete. Is the cruelty justified? The story does not provide a comfortable answer. In a world where discussions of “necessary evil” and moral compromise are constant, this 1890 tale offers a haunting case study.

For students of religion, the story provides a fascinating exploration of how biblical motifs can be repurposed to comment on colonial experience. The mark of the beast Kipling used is not a number or a tattoo; it is a condition of the soul. That idea—that one can become a beast through actions and disrespect—resonates with many ethical and religious traditions.

CONCLUSION: A MARK THAT ENDURES

Rudyard Kipling’s **“The Mark of the Beast”** is far more than a lurid horror story. It is a sophisticated, unsettling examination of cultural collision, punishment, and the thin line between humanity and animality. Through the